Interview Summary	Application N	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/633,586		MATSUZAWA ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Samuel A. Ge	bremariam	2811		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Samuel A. Gebremariam</u> .					
2) Nancy Pope (assistant to William G. Kratz). (4)					
Date of Interview: 14 February 2005.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed:					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Confirmed with Nancy Pope that the case is abandoned</u> .					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the ame				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
			ŕ		
Everyings Note: You must sign this form unless it is as					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's sign	ature, if required		